

DRAFT
DNCT Steering Committee
Meeting Notes
4/2/99
12:30-3:00

Attendees:

Agenda:

- i. Including WQ and WS in simulations with fish (3D approach).
- ii. What we do next based on AM input from Q/S Group.

Highlights

We discussed the 3D approach of including WS, WQ, and EWA simultaneously in gaming process. Dave Briggs will look into WQ constraints for group. A small group will consider water supply. A larger group will review biology. A small group will reconstruct last gaming run. We were not sure how to handle credit card and contract approaches: whether they should be simultaneous with present EWA approach or independent evaluations. Assignments were made for next week.

Comparisons

A. Comparisons should be made among historical, baseline (Accord + AFRP), and baseline + EWA. May also consider existing conditions as another baseline. We want to see what has caused problems, then decide what we are going to do about it. We should deal with problems regardless of the cause.

B. WQ

Dave Briggs will go away and analyze runs for the group.

C. Regenerate last weeks runs on Monday 2PM with small team: DAVE, RON, TOM, RUSS, and ANN.

D. Start game 1 a week from Tuesday after we have looked at results next week.

E. Next week we need to analyze results of last week's game. Need George's table emailed to fish team working on Tues and Thursday. They need output on historical, baseline, and last week's EWA conditions. Agency and non-agency teams should lay out their positions clearly on results of last week's game.

F. Does run provide assurances the agencies need?

G. Agency Team must document the rationale it used last week.

H. Agreed to have open discussion on these points next week.

Biol Meetings: 1-5 on Wednesday; all day Thursday.

B. Other Gaming Approaches

1. Credit Card and Contract Approaches -
 - a. need dialogue on these.
 - b. Could we track these simultaneously? No!
 - c. Lot of work to set up.
 - d. Who defines approaches to George to model?
 - e. What do we do to run the game?
 - f. Can we combine all three approaches into one game?
 - g. Concern about employing B2 in game.
 - h. Contract approach simply hides tools you are using.
 - i. Nice to have assets that are comparable to compare three types.
 - j. Why not convert all EWA facilities to a contract approach and not just consider E/I relaxation?
 - k. We should try a mix of three approaches.
2. 3D Approach - WS, WQ, EWA
 - a. Use all features/tools to game all three legs of stool.
 - b. To satisfy water supply guys we have to have more tools, or more options above the Accord.
 - c. What is baseline? What are game features? B2?
 - d. Do we really want to include raising Shasta?
3. Assignments:
 - a. Small group will meet to reconstruct last week's runs.
 - b. Another group will meet to discuss water supply.
 - c. Another group will analyze biol results.
 - d. Pete will look at ERP water actions to see if they are in Stage 1.
 - e. Model years - get Russ to set up 91-95 for runs and 83-90 for testing runs.